

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Hodgkiss
Given Name	Bethany
Person ID	1286016
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Hodgkiss
Given Name	Bethany
Person ID	1286016
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<p>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</p> <p>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</p>
Family Name	Hodgkiss
Given Name	Bethany
Person ID	1286016
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The plan does not take into account the increased number of residents who will be living in Radcliffe. There are no plans for extra doctor's surgeries, dentists etc for the additional residents.</p> <p>It is not reasonably justified, as no alternative plans have been considered and the impact of 400 new homes in Radcliffe being built on another brown-field site (and existing further developments before this plan is passed) have not been taken into consideration.</p> <p>The plan is not effective across different boundaries of Bury as it disproportionately places houses in Radcliffe, whilst taking away the greenbelt there. Radcliffe is the most deprived borough of Bury, and the green space is essential to people's health and wellbeing (e.g. exercise). There is additional greenbelt being put forward in the plan, but this is not easily accessible for residents living near the proposed site.</p>

<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>More consultation to be done with the residents of Radcliffe in particular, seeing as it directly impacts them. More consideration about the health and wellbeing needs of Radcliffe residents in the surrounding areas and their access to green spaces.</p> <p>More alternative plans to be considered for the entire borough of Bury, and not just the poorest area.</p> <p>More to be done to consider the lack of amenities for such a huge increase in residents in a small area.</p> <p>Revisiting the population statistics for each area of Bury to ensure they are up to date.</p> <p>Consider significantly reducing the scale of the plan and redistribute it to smaller, multiple sites. It seems unfair for Radcliffe to sacrifice so much greenbelt land for so many houses, when each part of the borough could easily sacrifice a smaller part each to make it more balanced. It seems as though this is the only plan that has ever been considered.</p> <p>More consideration into the socioeconomic profile of Radcliffe and whether it can support a big increase in residents.</p>
--	---